

Photoelectrochemical redox flow battery: *Challenges and recent efforts*

Dowon Bae,^{1*} Kristina Wedege,² Peter C.K. Vesborg,³ Anders Bentien², Wilson A. Smith¹

¹Material for Energy Conversion and Storage (MECS), Department of Chemical Engineering, Delft University of Technology ²Department of Engineering, Aarhus University

³Surface Physics & Catalysis (SurfCat), Department of Physics, Technical University of Denmark

*Contact: <u>d.bae@tudelft.nl</u>; <u>w.smith@tudelft.nl</u>

Introduction

Unbiased Solar-charging Redox Flow Battery

□ Solar-charging redox flow battery (SRFB) is composed of **photoelectrochemical (PEC) charging** and **redox flow battery (RFB)** components which can generate electricity *via* reversible reactions.

General Flexible capacity (*i.e.*, flexible resevior's volume) and low material cost (\$30-80 per kWh).^[1]

Challenges & Approaches

- I. Chemical degradation under the light \rightarrow "Dark" PEC charging
- II. Low cell voltage & capacity \rightarrow Wide band-gap PEC device
- III. Competitive reaction with HER \rightarrow Use of Carbon or other non-Pt catalysts
- IV. Low specific capacity (~50 Wh/kg) → Solubility tuning using organics

Project overview

PEC charging part

- PEC electrode: can be n-type or p-type. *p-Si* and *p-GaP* have been used in this work.
- **2)** Electrolytes: $Fe(CN)_6^{3-/4-}$ or AQS has been used as a catholyte and TEMPO^{0/+} or Nal as an anoltye.
- 3) Membrane: Nafion-117 (cation exchange) was used. Anion exchange membrane also can be applied in case of alkaline based electrolytes.
- 4) Counter electrode: Conducting carbon paper or Pt wire have been used.



RFB discharging part

- **5) RFB electrodes:** Commercial *Porto RFB cell* has been used in this study. *Au coated Cu plates* were used for both cathode and anode.
- 6) Peristaltic pump: Pumping rate of 70 mL/min with chemically durable Teflon and neoprene tubing.
- 7) Cell stacking: The stack voltage is the sum of single cell voltages (the current density is defined by the active area of a single cell). A single cell battery with 25 cm² active area was used in this work.

Challenge #1 *Chemical degradation under the light*



Challenge #2 Low cell voltage



□ TiO₂ covered pn⁺-Si with $V_{OC} \approx 0.52$ V. Both Fe(CN)₆^{3-/4-} and TEMPO^{0/+} are within band-edge of the c-Si [2]. □ However, significant charging current decrease due to the Fe(CN)₆^{3-/4-} coloration has been observed.



 \Box p-GaP/n-TiO₂ heterojunction device showed un-biased photocharging of redox couples with V_{cell} = 0.45 V.



-0.2 0.4 0.0 0.8 1 1.2 1.4 -0.4 -0.1 0.2 0.5 0.8 1.1 1.4 -0.2 0.2 0.2 0.6 1 1.4 1.8 2.2 Voltage, V_{NHE} Voltage, V_{NHE} Voltage, V_{NHE}

 \Box Direct contact of the Fe(CN)₆^{3-/4} catholyte with photon leads to degradation which results in coloration.

Degradation (*e.g.*, Prussian blue formation) can be avoided by complete isolation of the electrolyte using a back-illumination approach. No significant photocurrent decrease has been observed.

Charging/Discharging (RFB) test reveals mixed catholyte (AQS+NaI) showed improved stability in capacity.

Conclusions

- \checkmark A single TiO₂ protected c-Si based SRFB with Fe(CN)₆^{3-/4-} and TEMPO has been demonstrated successfully.
- Degradation issue of the Fe(CN)₆^{3-/4-} can be solved by the back-illumination approach. Complete isolation from the photon suppresses Prussian blue formation.
- \checkmark p-GaP/n-TiO₂ showed unbiased charging of redox couples (AQSH and NaI) with V_{cell} over 0.45 V.

Project Outlook

- □ Back-side illumination using bifacial GaP device will be conducted to overcome poor photocurrent output.
- □ Studies on catalyst will be carried out for replacing Pt. Various conductive materials to suppress hydrogen evolution reaction will be tested (*e.g.*, carbon).
- □ Surface reaction monitoring (especially for AQS+NaI mixed electrolyte) carried out using SECM technique.

[1] W. Li, H.-C. Fu, L. Li, M. Cabán-Acevedo, J.-H. He, S. Jin, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2016, 55,13104 –13108
[2] K. Wedege, D. Bae, E. Dražević, A. Mendes, P. C. K. Vesborg and A. Bentien, *RSC Adv.*, 2018, **8**, 6331–6340.
[3] D. Bae, B. Mei, R. Frydendal, T. Pedersen, B. Seger, O. Hansen, et. al., *ChemElectroChem*, 2016, **3**, 1546–1552.
[4] D. Bae, T. Pedersen, B. Seger, M. Malizia, A. Kuznetsov, O. Hansen, et. al., *Energy Environ. Sci.*, 2015, **8**, 650–660.
[5] K. Wedege, D. Bae, W. A. Smith, A. Mendes, A. Bentien, *J. Phys. Chem. C*. 2018 (under revision)

Acknowledgements

D. Bae would like to thank the funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under the Marie Sldodowska-Curie grant agreement No 707404, and the support by the Erasmus+ staff mobility grant from the European commission.





Publication list